Program: EQIP 2002	Ranking Date:
Ranking Tool: Upper Chama SWCD	
Final Ranking Score:	
Planner:	
Farm Location:	

National Priorities Addressed

Issue Questions	Responses
1. Will the treatment you intend to implement using EQIP result in considerable reductions of non-point source pollution, such as nutrients, sediment, pesticides, excess salinity in impaired watersheds, groundwater contamination or point source contamination from confined animal feeding operations?	60 Point(s)
2. Will the treatment you intend to implement using EQIP result in a considerable amount of ground or surface water conservation?	60 Point(s)
3. Will the treatment you intend to implement using EQIP result in a considerable reduction of emissions, such as particulate matter, nitrogen oxides (NOx), volatile organic compounds, and ozone precursors and depleters that contribute to air quality impairment violations of National Ambient Air Quality Standards?	60 Point(s)
4. Will the treatment you intend to implement using EQIP result in a considerable reduction in soil erosion and sedimentation from unacceptable levels on agricultural land?	20 Point(s)
5. Will the treatment you intend to implement using EQIP result in a considerable increase in the promotion of at-risk species habitat conservation?	50 Point(s)

State Issues Addressed

Issue Questions	Responses
1. Irr. Crop #1 - Treatment of this land will have a beneficial impact on a 303(d) listed stream segment?	50 Point(s)
2. Irr. Crop #2 - Treatment of this land will enhance the benefits of an active section 319 project?	50 Point(s)
3. Irr. Crop #3 - This land is within a NMED Category I watershed?	50 Point(s)
4. Irr. Crop #4 - Habitat for an at-risk species will be protected/enhanced?	50 Point(s)
5. Irr. Crop #5 - Noxious weeds are present and will be treated?	50 Point(s)
6. Forested #1 - Treatment of this land will have a beneficial impact on a 303(d) listed stream segment?	50 Point(s)

Issue Questions	Responses
7. Forested #2 - Treatment of this land will enhance the benefits of an active section 319 project?	50 Point(s)
o 17 project.	
8. Forested #3 - This land is within a NMED Category I watershed?	50 Point(s)
9. Forested #4 - Habitat for an at-risk species will be protected/enhanced?	50 Point(s)
10. Forested #5 - This contract will include practices which will reduce the threat, or mitigate the impact, of wildfires?	50 Point(s)
11. Grazing #1 - Treatment of this land will have a beneficial impact on a 303(d) listed stream segment?	50 Point(s)
12. Grazing #2 - Treatment of this land will enhance the benefits of an active section 319 project?	50 Point(s)
13. Grazing #3 - This land is within a NMED Category I watershed?	50 Point(s)
14. Grazing #4 - Habitat for an at-risk species will be protected/enhanced?	50 Point(s)
15. Grazing #5 - Noxious weeds are present and will be treated?	50 Point(s)

Local Issues Addressed

Issue Questions	Responses
1. Irr.Crop #1 Will the treatment improve irrigation efficiency at least 25% by NRCS FIRS Calculation?	100 Point(s)
2. Irr.Crop #2 Does applicant have a current conservation plan on file with the NRCS?	100 Point(s)
3. Irr.Crop #3 Has applicant had an EQIP contract that was terminated due to non-compliance?	-100 Point(s)
4. Irr.Crop #4 The treatment will improve irrigation efficiency by 26-30%	30 Point(s)
5. Irr.Crop #5 The treatment will improve irrigation efficiency by 31-35%	40 Point(s)
6. Irr.Crop #6 The treatment will improve irrigation efficiency by 36-40%	50 Point(s)
7. Irr.Crop #7 The treatment will improve irrigation efficiency greater than 40%	60 Point(s)
8. Irr.Crop #8 Will the applicant implement an RMS level Conservation Plan on contracted acres?	65 Point(s)
9. Irr.Crop #9 Will this treatment include practice(s) that will address invasive woody species (if present) ?	20 Point(s)
10. Irr.Crop #10 Will this treatment include practice(s) that will address UCSWCD identified invasive and noxious species (if present) ? (pest management (595))	35 Point(s)

Issue Questions	Responses
11. Irr.Crop #11 Will this treatment include a practice specific to a irrigated hayland	20 Point(s)
wildlife species? (pasture and hayland planting (512) utilizing at least one native	
grasss species and a native legume >/= 5% of mix)	
12. GR #1 Will the applicant implement an RMS level conservation plan on	100 Point(s)
contracted acres?	
13. GR #2 Does applicant have a current conservation plan on file with the NRCS?	100 Point(s)
13. GK #2 Does applicant have a current conservation plan on the with the faces?	100 Folit(s)
14. GR #3 Has applicant had an EQIP contract that was terminated due to non-	-100 Point(s)
compliance?	
15. GR #4 Will you defer grazing of the key species greater than 85% of the growing	60 Point(s)
season on 50% of contracted acres?	
16. GR #5 Will you defer grazing of the key species greater than 75/% of the growing	50 Point(s)
season on 50% of contracted acres?	
17. GR #6 Will you defer grazing of the key species greater than 65% of the growing	40 Point(s)
season on 50% of contracted acres?	40 F OIII(S)
season on 50% of contracted acres:	
18. GR #7 Will you defer grazing of the key species greater than 50% of the growing	30 Point(s)
season on 50% of contracted acres?	. ,
	20 Point(s)
one is not already established? (well (642), or watering facility (614), or livestock	
pipeline (516))	
20. GR #9 Applicant is implementing or will implement prescribed grazing system	65 Point(s)
after treatment. (does not need to be RMS level of system)	os romi(s)
and treatment. (asses not need to be failed level of system)	
21. GR #10 Will this treatment include practices that will address invasive woody	10 Point(s)
species (if present) ?	
22. GR #11 Will this treatment include practice(s) that will address UCSWCD	20 Point(s)
identified invasive and noxious species (if present) ? (pest management (595))	
23. GR #12 Will this treatment include practice(s) that will address sheet & rill	15 Point(s)
erosion (if present)? (grade stabilization structures (410), or diversions (362), or	(-/
sediment basins (350))	

Issue Questions	Responses
24. GR #13 Will this treatment include practice(s) specific to a grazingland wildlife species? (brush management - mosaic patterns of treatment (314), wildlife fences (382), range seeding - native grasses >/= 50% and legumes >/= 5% (550), wildlife watering facility (648))	10 Point(s)
25. FO #1 Is applicant willing to implement an RMS level conservation plan on contracted acres?	100 Point(s)
26. FO #2 Does applicant have a current conservation plan on file with the NRCS?	100 Point(s)
27. FO #3 Has applicant had an EQIP contract that was terminated due to non-compliance?	-100 Point(s)
28. FO #4 Will this treatment include practices specific to woodland health? (Forest Stand Improvement 666)(Brush Management 314) Pest Management 595)	35 Point(s)
29. FO #5 Will standing diseased and pest problem trees affecting stand health be removed?	30 Point(s)
30. FO #6 Is the area to be treated greater than 35% of the target basal area?	70 Point(s)
31. FO #7 The treatment will improve forest stand to within (0-25%) of the target basal area.	20 Point(s)
32. FO #8 The treatment will improve forest stand to within (26-50%) of the target basal area.	35 Point(s)
33. FO #9 The treatment will improve forest stand to within (51-75%) of the target basal area.	50 Point(s)
34. FO #10 The treatment will improve forest stand to within (76-100%) of the target basal area.	65 Point(s)